

# Orientations seminar - Hirzebruch signature theorem

Leor Neuhauser

## 1 Signature

Let  $M$  be a closed oriented manifold of dimension  $4k$ . Consider the bilinear form:

$$\begin{aligned} I_M: H^{2k}(M) \times H^{2k}(M) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ c_1, c_2 &\mapsto \langle c_1 \cup c_2, [M] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This bilinear form is called the *intersection form*, because under Poincare duality it corresponds to the bilinear form  $H_{2k}(M) \times H_{2k}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  which, for classes  $[C], [D] \in H_{2k}(M)$  represented by submanifolds of dimension  $2k$ , acts by wiggling them until they are transverse, and counting their (signed) intersection points.  $I_M$  vanishes on the torsion subgroup of  $H^{2k}(M)$ , so it restricts to a bilinear form on the free quotient

$$\bar{I}_M: \text{Free}H^{2k}(M) \times \text{Free}H^{2k}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

and Poincare duality tells us that  $\bar{I}_M$  is non-degenerate. This implies that  $I_M$  would be non-degenerate after tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or even  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Given a real bilinear form  $B: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exists maximal subspaces  $V_+, V_- \subseteq V$  on which  $B$  is positive/negative definite. The signature of  $B$  is defined as  $\text{Sign}(B) = \dim(V_+) - \dim(V_-) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Alternatively, given a diagonal representation of  $B$ , the signature is the number of positive entries minus the number of negative entries.

**Definition 1.2.** For  $M$  closed oriented, the signature of  $M$  is  $\text{Sign}(M) = \text{Sign}(I_M \otimes \mathbb{R}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 1.3.** Consider  $S^2 \times S^2$ ,  $H^2(S^2 \times S^2; \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ . In the standard basis, the intersection form is represented by a matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $H$  stands for hyperbolic. By diagonalizing  $H$ , we see that the signature is 0.

**Example 1.4.** For  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2k}$ , the middle homology is  $H_{2k}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2k}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  with generator  $[\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k]$ . The submanifold  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2k}$  is a locus of a degree 1 homogeneous polynomial so by Bezout's theorem the intersection number is  $I_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2k}}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k) = 1$ . Thus,  $\text{Sign}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2k}) = 1$ .

Our first goal is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.5.** The signature is a genus, i.e. a ring homomorphism  $\text{Sign}: \Omega^{SO} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

We need to show that  $\text{Sign}$  is bordism invariant and a ring homomorphism. We will start with the latter.

**Lemma 1.6.** Let  $M_1^{4k_1}, M_2^{4k_2}$  be oriented manifolds, then:

- (1)  $\text{Sign}(M_1 \sqcup M_2) = \text{Sign}(M_1) + \text{Sign}(M_2)$  if  $k_1 = k_2 = k$ .
- (2)  $\text{Sign}(M_1 \times M_2) = \text{Sign}(M_1)\text{Sign}(M_2)$

*Proof.* For additivity, we have  $H^{2k}(M_1 \sqcup M_2) = H^{2k}(M_1) \oplus H^{2k}(M_2)$ , so the diagonal representation of  $I_{M_1 \cup M_2}$  will just be the diagonal representation of  $I_{M_1}$  followed by  $I_{M_2}$ .

For multiplicativity, the Kunneth theorem tells us

$$H^{2k_1+2k_2}(M_1 \times M_2; \mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_j H^{2k_1+j}(M_1; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^{2k_2-j}(M_2; \mathbb{R}) = H^{2k_1}(M_1; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^{2k_2}(M_2; \mathbb{R}) \oplus T$$

We want to prove that the intersection form vanishes on  $T$ . Note moreover that  $[M_1 \times M_2] = [M_1] \otimes [M_2] \in H_{4k_1}(M_1) \otimes H_{4k_2}(M_2)$ .  $\square$

To prove cobordism invariance, we will use the following lemmas:

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $i: M^n \hookrightarrow N^{n+1}$  be the boundary inclusion of oriented manifolds, and let  $c \in H^n(N)$ . Then  $\langle i^*(c), [M] \rangle = 0$

*Proof.*  $\langle i^*(c), [M] \rangle = \langle c, i_*[M] \rangle$  and  $i_*[M] = 0$ , as it is a boundary in  $N$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.8.** Let  $B: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. Suppose  $W \subseteq V$  is isotropic, meaning that  $B(w_1, w_2) = 0$  for all  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ , and  $\dim(V) = 2 \dim(W)$ . The  $\text{Sign}(B) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq w_1 \in W$ . Since  $B$  is non-degenerate there exists  $v_1 \in V$  such that  $B(w_1, v_1) = 1$ . Adding a multiple of  $w_1$  to  $v_1$ , we can assume  $B(v_1, v_1) = 0$ . Let  $V = \text{Span}(v_1, w_1) \oplus V_1$  be an orthogonal decomposition.  $B|_{\text{Span}(v_1, w_1)} = H$  is the hyperbolic form with signature 0, and  $V_1$  satisfies the same hypothesis as  $V$ . Proceed by induction.  $\square$

*Proof of theorem.* We want to show that if  $M^{4k} \hookrightarrow N^{4k+1}$  is a boundary inclusion, then  $\text{Sign}(M) = 0$ . Consider the map between long exact sequences induces for Poincare duality:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{2k}(N; \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^{2k}(M; \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & H^{2k+1}(N, M; \mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ H_{2k+1}(N, M; \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & H_{2k}(M; \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{2k}(N; \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

We claim the  $\text{Im}(i^*)$  is isotropic for  $I_M \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and has dimension  $\frac{1}{2} \dim H^{2k}(M; \mathbb{R})$ . Isotropy follows from Lemma 1.7.  $\text{Im}(i^*)$  maps isomorphically to  $\ker(i_*)$ , but  $\ker(i_*)$  is the orthogonal of  $\text{Im}(i^*)$  under the pairing of homology and cohomology, so we deduce  $\dim \text{Im}(i^*) = \frac{1}{2} \dim H^{2k}$ .  $\square$

Now that we know that the signature is a genus, we want to be able to it using Pontrjagin numbers. Let's first recall their definition.

## 2 Pontrjagin classes

Consider  $c_1(L) = -y \in H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$  the universal Chern class of the tautological line bundle  $L$ . Taking complex conjugate is orientation flipping, so  $c_1(\bar{L}) = y$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For every complex vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$ ,  $c_i(\bar{E}) = (-1)^i c_i(E)$ .*

*Proof.* By the splitting principle, we can think of  $c_i(E)$  as the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  where  $k$  is the rank of  $E$ . Each  $x_j$  is pulled from  $-y \in H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$ , so in  $c_i(\bar{E})$  it is replaced with  $-x_j$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $V \rightarrow M$  is a real vector, then  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$  is a complex vector bundle. We have an isomorphism  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}}$ , so  $c_i(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) = (-1)^i c_i(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ . This implies that the odd Chern classes are 2-torsion.

**Definition 2.2.** The Pontrjagin classes of  $V$  are the even Chern classes of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ , up to a sign convention

$$p_i(V) = (-1)^i c_{2i}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \in H^{4i}(M)$$

The total Pontrjagin class is then  $p(V) = 1 + p_1(V) + p_2(V) + \dots$ .

For  $E \rightarrow M$  a complex vector bundle, we have  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq E \oplus \bar{E}$ . Writing  $c(E) = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + x_j)$ , we have  $c(\bar{E}) = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - x_j)$ , and so

$$c(E \oplus \bar{E}) = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + x_j)(1 - x_j) = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - x_j^2).$$

It follows that  $p_i(E)$  is the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial in  $x_j^2$ . Conversely, every symmetric polynomial in  $x_j^2$  formally corresponds to a polynomial in Pontrjagin classes.

Given an oriented  $n$ -manifold  $M$  and a tuple  $i_1, \dots, i_r \geq 1$  such that  $4(i_1 + \dots + i_r) = n$ , the associated Pontrjagin number is

$$p_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(M) = \langle p_{i_1}(TM) \cup \dots \cup p_{i_r}(TM), [M] \rangle$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *The Pontrjagin numbers is oriented-cobordism invariant,*

$$p_{i_1, \dots, i_r} : \Omega_n^{SO} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

*Proof.* Let  $i : M^n \hookrightarrow N^{n+1}$  be a boundary inclusion, we want to show that the Pontrjagin numbers vanish on  $M$ . Note that  $i^*TN = TM \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , so from stability  $p_i(TM) = i^*p_i(TN)$ . The result then follows from the above lemma.  $\square$

## 3 The $L$ -polynomial

A symmetric polynomial in  $x_1^2, \dots, x_k^2$  corresponds to a unique polynomial in Pontrjagin classes. We can define such polynomials using Taylor series, which will be truncated at the rank. Consider the series

$$\frac{x}{\tanh(x)} = 1 + \frac{x^2}{3} + \dots$$

and define the  $L$ -polynomial as  $L = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j}{\tanh(x_j)}$ . Because  $L$  is symmetric, and  $\frac{x}{\tanh(x)}$  has only even powers of  $x$ , we can write  $L$  as a polynomial in Pontrjagin classes.

**Definition 3.1.** Given an oriented  $n$ -manifold  $M$ , the  $L$ -genus of  $M$  is given by  $\langle L(TM), [M] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that when we write this expression, we only multiply the degree  $n$  part.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The  $L$  genus is indeed a ring homomorphism  $\Omega^{SO} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Cobordism invariance follows from cobordism invariance of Pontrjagin numbers. Additivity is immediate, as we compute component wise. For multiplicativity, suppose  $TM, TM'$  have formal Chern roots  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+n'}$  respectively, then

$$L(TM \oplus TM') = \prod_{j=1}^{n+n'} \frac{x_j}{\tanh(x_j)} = L(TM)L(TM').$$

This might formally work only when  $M, M'$  have a complex structure and  $TM, TM'$  are stably split over  $\mathbb{C}$ , but in fact this is the only case where we will need it.  $\square$

We will calculate the  $L$ -genus for  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . Consider the exact sequence of vector bundles over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ :

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

where  $S$  is the tautological line bundle and  $Q$  is the quotient. The tangent bundle of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  is given by  $T\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n = \text{hom}(S, Q) = S^* \otimes Q$ .

**Lemma 3.3.**  *$T\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  is stably equivalent to  $(S^*)^{n+1}$*

*Proof.* By the exact sequence  $\underline{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} = S \oplus Q$ , so

$$(S^*)^n = S^* \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} = S^* \otimes S \oplus S^* \otimes Q \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus T\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$$

$\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *The  $L$ -genus of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  is  $\langle L(T\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n), [\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n] \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & 2|n \\ 0 & 2 \nmid n \end{cases}$ .*

*Proof.* By stability of Chern classes, we can replace  $T\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  by  $(S^*)^{n+1}$ . Note that  $(S^*)^{n+1}$  is already a sum of line bundles, so we don't need to split it, and in  $(S^*)^{n+1}$  we have  $x_j = y \in H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$  (it is  $y$  and not  $-y$  because we are using the dual tautological bundle  $S^*$ ), so

$$L((S^*)^{n+1}) = \left( \frac{y}{\tanh(y)} \right)^{n+1}$$

We have  $\langle y^n, [\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n] \rangle = 1$ , so the  $L$ -genus is the coefficient of  $y^n$  above, which is equal by the Cauchy integral formula to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{dy}{y^{n+1}} \left( \frac{y}{\tanh(y)} \right)^{n+1} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{dy}{\tanh(y)^{n+1}} = \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{dz}{(1-z^2)z^{n+1}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{1+z^2+z^4+\dots}{z^{n+1}} dz = \begin{cases} 1 & 2|n \\ 0 & 2 \nmid n \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

## 4 Hirzebruch signature theorem

**Theorem 4.1** (Hirzebruch signature theorem). The signature is equal to the  $L$ -genus

$$\text{Sign}(M) = \langle L(TM), [M] \rangle.$$

Note that both the signature and the  $L$ -genus are ring homomorphisms  $\Omega^{SO} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . To check that they are equal it is enough to verify after tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

We will use the following result:

**Theorem 4.2.**  $\Omega^{SO} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_2, \dots]$  is a freely generated ring, where the generator  $y_i$  of degree  $4i$  corresponds to the cobordism class of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2i}$ .

The Hirzebruch signature theorem then follows, as both the sign and the  $L$ -genus of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2i}$  is 1.

For a 4-manifold, the Hirzebruch signature theorem implies that

$$\text{Sign}(M) = \langle p_1(TM)/3, [M] \rangle$$

In particular, since the left-hand side is an integer, it implies that the first Pontrjagin number of  $M$  is divisible by 3. This is not at obvious, as  $p_1(TM)/3$  is generally not an integer cohomology class.